

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/863,486	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael C. Maskulinski	Art Unit 2113	

All Participants:

(1) Michael C. Maskulinski.

(2) Alan D. Christenson.

Status of Application: After Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested the Applicant's representative's permission to change the claim dependencies of claims 6 and 20 so that they did not depend from a cancelled claim. The Examiner suggested changing the claims so that they depended from the independent claims. In a voice mail message left, the Applicant's representative said that it would be okay to change the claims to the Examiner's suggestion. .